Challenges in Weather modification status in different countries

Thara Prabhakaran

Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology Pune, India

Water Resources Management in ASEAN, Prachuap Khiri Khan Province, Thailand22 -26July 2019

Business-as-Usual 2050 (computed by WaterGAP 2.1 based on the World Water Vision's BAU scenarior

Withdrawals-to-Availability Ratio

ronmental

"There is a direct influence of global warming on precipitation. Increased heating leads to greater evaporation and thus surface drying, thereby increasing the intensity and duration of drought. However, the water holding capacity of air increases by about 7% per 1°C warming, which leads to increased water vapor in the atmosphere. Hence, storms, whether individual thunderstorms, extratropical rain or snow storms, or tropical cyclones, supplied with increased moisture, produce more intense precipitation events. Such events are observed to be widely occurring, even where total precipitation is decreasing." Quote: 'it never rains but it pours!'

Trenberth, 2011

56 countries with active cloud seeding programs (Figure not updated after

National academies report)

Weather modification in the USA

- 36 active weather modification programs in the USA.
- About half of the projects operate in summer and the other half in winter.
- Projects are funded by state government, local government, private sector and insurance companies.
- Some projects incorporate a research component.

Ref: (Braham 1952; Gao and Li 2008; Trenberth et al. 2007; Li et al. 2011).

Challenges in Weather modification (cloud seeding)

- Cloud seeding is a form of (**advertent**) weather modification.
- Weather modification technologies may be effectively applied to facilitate the water and energy cycles
- Need science and technology that improve the appropriate systems used to monitor and manage atmospheric water
- Bottle neck: Limited advances have been made in the development of present-day cloud seeding technologies and the ability to recognize treatable clouds.

Checklist before a seeding program

- Climatology
- Type of cloud
- Environmental conditions
- Type of seeding
- Type of seed material
- Method of dispensing the seed material
- Evaluation strategy (randomization and physical)
- Selection of instruments and parameters
- Use of numerical models
- Environmental and extra area effect
- Social aspects

Cloud seeding success is about identifying the window of opportunity

- Cloud droplets must grow in volume by a factor of a million before they become raindrops.
- Collision-coalescence requires a few large drops to be active.
- Cloud water remains supercooled up to temperatures of -37 **°**C

REVIEW OF ADVANCES IN PRECIPITATION ENHANCEMENT RESEARCH

ANDREA I. FLOSSMANN, MICHAEL MANTON, ALI ABSHAEV, ROELOF BRUINTJES, MASATAKA MURAKAMI, THARA PRABHAKARAN, AND ZHANYU YAO

The World Meteorological Organization Expert Team on Weather Modification has assessed recent progress on precipitation enhancement research.

Weather modification methods (Flossman et al., 2019, BAMS)

Hygroscopic seeding

- Applicable in clouds with tops below freezing level; warm clouds containing water droplets
- Hygroscopic material is dispersed into the updraft region at cloud base
- Particles are larger and more hygroscopic than the natural particles
- The cloud droplets nucleate preferentially on the seeding particles
- This inhibits smaller natural cloud condensation nuclei from becoming activated
- The result is a broader-than-natural droplet spectrum near cloud base
- Increases potential for precipitation to develop earlier and more efficiently in the lifetime of the cloud.
- Despite the wide use of hygroscopic seeding, the results have been inconclusive due to a lack of physical understanding and inconclusive statistical evaluations.

Hygroscopic Seeding

(Applied to cumulus congestus/cumulonimbus with hygroscopic nuclei)

Static seeding hypothesis:

- \triangleright Cloud base below the melting level
- \triangleright Large soluble nuclei are used
- \triangleright To trigger the collision–coalescence early
- \triangleright Rapid growth of raindrops and fallout quickly

Dynamic seeding hypothesis:

- \triangleright Initiates rainfall through the collision–coalescence process
- \triangleright Rain develops
- \triangleright Evaporation below cloud base triggers the formation of cold pool
- \triangleright There is cold air outflows, which propagate away
- \triangleright New convective cells for at the boundaries of outflow where there is lifting
- \triangleright Increase areal coverage of convection
- \triangleright Expect more rain from the convective complex

Optimistic view of the potential of hygroscopic seeding Silverman (2003)

A dynamic effect was suspected as "downdrafts creating long lived clouds along their peripheries"

Very few physical observations were taken as part of the randomized experiments. Exception is Queensland, Australia, 2009, indicated variability in physical processes and in the seeded and unseeded clouds

Historical Hygroscopic seeding experiments

- South African experiments (Mather et al., 1997)
- Mexico experiments (WMO, 2000)
- Indian experiments (Murty et al., 2000)
- Thailand experiments (Silverman and Sukarnjanasat, 2000)
- Static-mode seeding concept: Seeding for microphysical effects

Competition effect: Introducing cloud condensation nuclei to affect the condensation process by broadening the initial cloud drop size spectrum

 \Box Tail effect: Introducing ultra-giant condensation nuclei to jump start the collision–coalescence process

More detailed list is given in WMO Peer Review report (2018)

Hygroscopic seeding in convective cloud

Flossman et al., 2019, BAMS

Hygroscopic flares

- Provide larger CCN than naturally occurs which activate at lower supersaturations
- Condense water more readily and tend to limit the total number of droplets activated
- Droplets grow to larger(than normal)sizes through condensation
- Droplets grow further through collision with other droplets within 15-min, initiate the rain process within the convective cell.

Flares used on aircraft wings

- \triangle **The flares are fitted in the racks attached to the wings of the aircraft.**
- **Hygroscopic flare contains sodium chloride or calcium chloride, produce small salt particles in the size range 0.1 - 1 micrometer diameter.**
- **The flares are in cardboard container (12 cm long 7 cm diameter)**
- **The linear burning rate of the flare is ~ 0.66 mm per second.**

Hygroscopic Burn-in-Place (HBIPs) flares

- To enhance collision-coalescence processes through development of large cloud droplets. A flare comprised of KCl (Potassium Chloride) and NaCl (Sodium Chloride)
- burn time of approximately 4 minutes.
- Pairs of flairs are burned and a total of 8 flares (4 burns x 2 per burn, on each wing) per event is burned which takes 16 minutes.
- Up to 3 seeding events are carried out per flight

• During hygroscopic seeding the aircraft flies near cloud base and burns flares attached to the wings

Glaciogenic seeding in orographic cloud

Flossman et al., 2019, BAMS

FIG. I. (a) Glaciogenic seeding of an orographic wintertime cloud. Red indicates the supercooled seeded area and the seeding material to be added by plane, burner, or rocket. (b) The intended outcome of the seeding (red), when the added INP form crystals that grow via the WBF effect and riming to form snow. The additional release of latent heat may invigorate the cloud. The arrow indicates the sense of the space and time evolution.

- Seeding material must be successfully and reliably produced.
- Seeding material must be transported into a region of cloud that has SLW.
- Seeding material must be dispersed sufficiently in the SLW cloud, so that a significant volume is affected by the desired concentration of INP and a significant number of ice crystals (IC) are formed.
- The temperature must be low enough, for substantial IC formation.
- ICs formed by seeding must remain in an environment suitable for growth long enough to enable them to fall into the target area.

Key components physical evaluation of orographic glaciogenic seeding

- 1) Identifying suitable clouds for AgI application
- 2) Natural precipitation processes
- 3) Presence of supercooled liquid water (location and time)
- 4) Conditions favouring the transport of ground-released AgI to the cloud base
- 5) Documenting the micro-physical chain of events after seeding
- 6) Checking hypothesis
- 7) Identify impacts on the water supply
- 8) Assess any environmental impact
- 9) Assess extra area effect

Where Ground based glaciogenic seeding have been successful?

- 1) Over steep mountain slopes
- 2) Embedded convection
- 3) Orographic clouds where cloud-top temperatures are warmer than -15oC
- 4) In turbulent eddies induced by local mountainous terrain;
- 5) in updrafts associated with mountain-induced gravity waves
- 6) Cloud base below melting level
- 7) Terrain-forced ascent of cloud water through the melting level

Result: Winter orographic cloud seeding can result in increases in precipitation from seedable storms in the range of 5%-15%. Only one third of the storms are seedable from the ground to ${\bigwedge}$ be effective.

• During glaciogenic seeding the aircraft flies near cloud top and ejects the flare, which drops inside cloud and burns .

Airborne seeding: glaciogenic and hygroscopic

Targeting video in fast forward speed

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Atmospheric Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/atmosres

A multi-sensor study of the impact of ground-based glaciogenic seeding on clouds and precipitation over mountains in Wyoming. Part II: Seeding impact analysis

Ground based Glaciogenic seeding and snow growth in two mountains in Wyoming, USA

Used airborne cloud radar and cloud physics instruments

Conclusion: A large sample is needed for "clear observational evidence regarding the seeding efficacy to atmospheric and cloud conditions"

Binod Pokharel^{a,*}, Bart Geerts^a, Xiaoqin Jing^a, Katja Friedrich^b, Kyoko Ikeda^c, Roy Rasmussen

Environmental effects: Investigating Ag in the precipitation

THE QUEENSLAND CLOUD **SEEDING RESEARCH PROGRAM**

BY SARAH A. TESSENDORF, ROELOF T. BRUINTJES, COURTNEY WEEKS, JAMES W. WILSON, CHARLES A. KNIGHT, RITA D. ROBERTS, JUSTIN R. PETER, SCOTT COLLIS, PETER R. BUSECK, EVELYN FRENEY, MICHAEL DIXON, MATTHEW POCERNICH, KYOKO IKEDA, DUNCAN AXISA, ERIC NELSON, PETER T. MAY, HARALD RICHTER, STUART PIKETH, ROELOF P. BURGER, LOUISE WILSON, STEVEN T. SIEMS, MICHAEL MANTON, ROGER C. STONE, ACACIA PEPLER, DON R. COLLINS, V. N. BRINGI, M. THURAI, LYNNE TURNER, AND DAVID MCRAE

An innovative approach to studying the effects of cloud seeding on precipitation is to focus on understanding the natural variability of precipitation and the microphysical responses to aerosol.

FIG. I. Map of southeast Queensland region targeted for the **QCSRP** field effort and associated facilities and landmarks. The 30° beam crossing angle dual-Doppler lobes are overlaid in black. [Courtesy of Kevin Sampson, NCAR.]

Fig. 2. Facilities operated during the QCSRP included (a) the CP2 radar (photo depicts the S-band and X-band antennae), and (b) the SAWS Aero Commander research/seeding aircraft depicted in flight on a research mission. [Photos courtesy Scott Collis, CAWCR.]

Using tracer to detect seeding signature

Seeding in Texas with NaCl powder

(source: Duncan Axisa)

OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW

35 Potential days of experimentation in May and early June 2005 7 of 35 days were suitable for experimentation SF6 tracer gas was detected in 4 of 7 cases 3 of the 4 cases were suitable for analysis

CASE SEEDING DOCUMENTATION

(source: Duncan Axisa)

Seeded vs non-seeded volumes 31 May 2005 (Case 2)

(source: Duncan Axisa)

0

0:21:44:20

0:21:44:10

a b

cip_largest/100 CDP LWC*10

10

20

30

40

Largest drop [

ᅚ

m], LWC [10*gm

ن<u>ي</u>

50

Cloud Aerosol Interaction and Precipitation Enhancement Experiment (CAIPEEX)

> Research aircraft Phase I May-September 2009

Seeder aircraft (single engine), also instrumented Salt and pyrotechnic flares were used Phase II 2010, 2011)

Research aircraft (2 propeller engine) Phase II August-October 2010 September-November 2011

Collected 670 hours of flight data on aerosol and cloud droplet size distributions and other environmental parameters

Major achievement:

CAIPEEX has finished 820 hours of research flights and two integrated ground campaigns (2009-2015)

Phase III (2014-15) 150 hours of research flights Varanasi, Mahabaleswar, Arabian Sea, Kohlapur, Solapur

objectives

Aerosol-Cloud-Precipitation interaction Aerosol-Cloud-Precipitation interaction Hygroscopic cloud seeding

Aircraft instruments for aerosol and cloud microphysics

website: http://www.tropmet.res.in/~caipeex/

Salt seeding example from CAIPEEX

Latitude

Cloud base ~4800 ft

Dissipating base of seeded target (10:47)

CIP.PIP

FSSP4

FSSP10 H₂D_S V₂D_S

10000

CAS

Background Aerosol PSD and CCN spectra during seeding material (flare) characterization

Seeding material characterization

Joint PSD with DMA and PCASP seeding and no-seeding times and associated cloud droplet spectra from FSSP

Cloud seeding science Experiment 2018-19

C-band polarimeteric radar, Raingauge network Ground station with radiaometer, wind profiler, MRR, disdrometer \bigwedge

 $\frac{1}{3}$ MPS, CCNC, Aerosol and gas Chemistry, Aethalometer,

Effect of aerosol on cloud drop size – from wx mod programs

Prabha, T. V., S. Patade, G. Pandithurai, A. Khain**, D. Axisa**, P. Pradeep-Kumar, R. S. Maheshkumar, J. R. Kulkarni and B. N. Goswami, 2012: Spectral width of premonsoon and monsoon clouds over Indo-Gangetic valley, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D20205, doi:10.1029/2011JD016837.

Posfai M.**, D. Axisa**, E. Tompa, E. Freney, R. Bruintjes and P. Buseck, 2013: Interactions of mineral dust with pollution and clouds: An individual-particle TEM study of atmospheric aerosol from Saudi Arabia, Atmos. Res. 122, 347-361.

Effective radius (um)

@AGUPUBLICATIONS

Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1002/2013JD021165

Key Points:

- · Internally mixed particles enhance CCN formation on desert dust in updrafts
- Independent deliquescence of coarse- and fine-mode particles occurs in updrafts
- Industrially derived salts within aerosols leads to the formation of giant CCN

Individual aerosol particles in ambient and updraft conditions below convective cloud bases in the Oman mountain region

T. A. Semeniuk¹, R. T. Bruintjes², V. Salazar², D. W. Breed², T. L. Jensen², and P. R. Buseck¹

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, and School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA, ²National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA

- NaCl containing with aggregates (>0.3 microns)
- Associated with high CCN and droplets
- Large droplet sizes in updrafts
- Soluble salts from local pollution and natural sources affect aerosol-cloud interaction
- Seeding with hygroscopic flares were ineffective

JGR

Concluding thoughts

- clouds can have a considerable effect on the Earth's climate : the most uncertain aspects in their formation, persistence, and ultimate dissipation is the role played by aerosols.
- Certain interactions between aerosols and clouds are relatively well studied and understood. For example, it is known that an increase in the aerosol concentration will increase the number of droplets in warm clouds and decrease their average size. There is uncertainty on aerosol impacts on rate of precipitation and lifetime.
- Other effects are not as well known. For example, the interplay of dynamics versus effects purely attributed to aerosols remains highly uncertain.
- Measurement uncertainty is associated with the effect of black carbon and organics, dust, aerosol types of anthropogenic interest and bioaerosols, on droplet and ice formation.
- Research programs like SNOWIE, CAIPEEX , etc represents a leap forward in reducing the uncertainty associated with the interaction of aerosols and clouds.

Measurements in Sulawesi Indonesia (NCAR: 2005)

- Cloud droplet sizes increase rapidly with height and coalescence becomes active within 1 km of cloud base.
- Drizzle and raindrops are present at the 0° to -5°C level, freeze and activate a natural ice seeding process or ice multiplication.
- Operational cloud seeding techniques need to be adapted for this region and conventional methods may not be effective.
- Need for more field studies.

GEOENGINEERING A HALF-CENTURY OF EARTH SYSTEM EXPERIMENTATION

 \circ

Dem. Rep.

of the

Congo

Zambi

South

Madaqaskar

Geoengineering **notspots**

Countries with some reported geoengineering activity

Countries with no reported geoengineering activity

Geoengineering is the large-scale, intentional manipulation of earth or climate systems. While geoeng century-old roots in weather mo efforts, its modern history bega in the 1960s. A UN treaty (Envir **Modification Convention, ENMC** outlawed the hostile use of wea control in 1978 and the UN Con on Biological Diversity (CBD) a de facto geoengineering morato

While there is no complete reco weather and climate control pro countries, this map attempts to scope of research and experime is not science fiction. Some go see it as a means to delay or di Others see it as scientific hubri to commandeer the planetary th

NOTE: The information on this n No doubt, significant experimen and other reported trials have be Importantly, many weather conti initiatives using biochar are inte are not intended to manipulate t even local techniques can be sc and economic implications for o

Landmark Events

1. India: Project GROMET (1967), weather modification (rainmaking) by USA to end Bihar famine

United States

◓◉◍◬

 \sim \sim \sim

- 2. Vietnam: USA's Operation Popeve, weather warfare to drown out transport and crops (1967-1972)
- 3. New York, USA: UN ENMOD outlaws weather warfare (1978)
- 4. Southern Sea: One year after Earth Summit, USA conducts first major ocean fertilization test (1993)
- 5. California, USA: NASA and Carnegie Institute convene expert workshop on SRM (2006)
- 6. London, UK: Virgin Earth Challenge announced for GHG removal (2007)
- 7. Pacific Ocean near Galapagos Islands: US company Planktos's plans to seed thousands of kilometers of ocean with iron halted by Ecuador (2007)
- 8. Sulu Sea: Philippines stops Australian company's urea dump for ocean fertilization (2008)
- 9. Bonn, Germany: CBD adopts moratorium on ocean fertilization (2008)
- 10. Washington (Seattle), USA: Bill Gates funds geoengineering research (2008-2012)
- 11. Scotia Sea: Lohafex experiment ignores CBD moratorium on ocean fertilization (2009)
- 12. London, UK: Royal Society publishes major report on geoengineering, calls for more research (2009) 13. Washington, DC / London, UK: Joint Congressional / Parliamentary hearings on geoengineering (2010) 14. London, UK: London Convention / Protocol prohibits commercial ocean fertilization research (2010) 15. Asilomar, USA: 175 scientists gather to elaborate "voluntary guidelines" on geoengineering research (2010) 16. Nagoya, Japan: CBD adopts geoengineering moratorium (2010)
- 17. Lima, Peru: IPCC convenes expert meeting on geoengineering (2011)
- 18. Brussels, Belgium: European Parliament passes resolution on Rio+20, which includes opposing geoengineering (2011)
- 19. Ecuador: Pujili communities sue the country's biggest frozen vegetable exporter for cloud seeding to diminish rainfall (2011)
- 20. Sculthorpe, UK: SRM experiment ("SPICE") put on hold (2011)
- 21. Berlin, Germany: Government and Parliament studies on geoengineering (2011-2012)

Weather Modification

Increased Precipitation: Seeding clouds with particles of silver iodide or other chemicals to provoke rain or snow Reduced Precipitation: Water and cloud-based methods to reduce rainfall, diffuse hail storms and hurricanes and to disperse fog

Land-based Techniques

Air Capture: (a.k.a. artificial trees or carbon sucking machines) to remove atmospheric CO₂

illiopines

ndianon

- Biochar: Agricultural 'waste,' crops and/or trees burned in low-oxygen conditions to make charcoal that is then added to soils with the aim of sequestering carbon
- "Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS): Processes to capture carbon emissions at source for burial (excluded from CBD provisional definition of geoengineering)
- Bio-Energy with Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS): CCS applied to power plants burning biomass, theoretically resulting in net carbon removal from the atmosphere

Solar Radiation Management (SRM)

Stratospheric aerosols (a.k.a. artificial volcanoes). cloud whitening, whitening the surface of the Earth or "space mirrors," with the aim of diverting sunlight

Water-based Techniques

- Ocean Fertilization+: Stimulating the production of carbon-eating algae by adding iron or nitrogen to seawater, or other techniques that modify ocean chemistry to enhance CO₂ sequestration
- Algae Schemes: Industrial cultivation of algae to consume CO₂ and, theoretically, to generate new biofuels

Other

- Major research and policy institutes focusing on geoengineering (without testing) Noteworthy initiatives that do not fit into any of
- the above categories (e.g., enhanced weathering)

